## CONTEXT-AWARE SIMILARITIES WITHIN THE FACTORIZATION FRAMEWORK

Balázs Hidasi Domonkos Tikk

CARR WORKSHOP, 5TH FEBRUARY 2013, ROME

## OUTLINE

- Background & scope
- Levels of CA similarity
- Experiments
- Future work

## BACKGROUND



#### **IMPLICIT FEEDBACK**

- User preference not coded explicitely in data
- o E.g. purchase history
  - Presence  $\rightarrow$  preference assumed
  - Absence → ???
- o Binary "preference" matrix
  - Zeroes should be considered
- Optimize for
  - Weighted RMSE
  - Partial ordering (ranking)



## CONTEXT

- Can mean anything
- o Here: event context
  - User U has an event
  - on Item I
  - while the context is C
- E.g.: time, weather, mood of U, freshness of I, etc.
- In the experiments:
  - Seasonality (time of the day or time of the week)
    - Time period: week / day

## FACTORIZATION I

• Preference data can be organized into matrix

- Size of dimensions high
- Data is sparse
- Approximate this matrix by the product of two low rank matrices
  - Each item and user has a feature vector
  - Predicted preference is the scalar product of the appropriate vectors

item

• 
$$r_{u,i} = (U_u)^T I_i$$

• Here we optimize for wRMSE (implicit case)

Learning features with ALS

## FACTORIZATION II.

• Context introduced  $\rightarrow$  additional context dimension

item

user

- Matrix  $\rightarrow$  tensor (table of records)
- Models for preference prediction:
  - Elementwise product model • Weighted scalar product •  $r_{u,i,c} = 1^T (U_u \circ I_i \circ C_c)$
  - Pairwise model
    - Context dependent user/item bias



#### **ITEM-TO-ITEM RECOMMENDATIONS**

- o Items similar to the current item
- o E.g.: user cold start, related items, etc.
- Approaches: association rules, similarity between item consumption vectors, etc.
- In the factorization framework:
  - Similarity between the feature vectors
  - Scalar product:  $s_{i,j} = (I_i)^T I_j$
  - Cosine similarity:

$$s_{i,j} = \frac{(I_i)^T I_j}{\|I_i\|_2 \|I_j\|_2}$$

## SCOPE OF THIS PROJECT

- Examination wether item similarities can be improved
  - using context-aware learning or prediction
  - compared to the basic feature based solution
- Motivation:
  - If factorization models are used anyways, it would be good to use them for I2I recommendations as well
- Out of scope:
  - Comparision with other approaches (e.g. association rules)

### CONTEXT-AWARE SIMILARITIES: LEVEL1

o The form of computing similarity remains

• 
$$s_{i,j} = (I_i)^T I_j$$
  
 $s_{i,j} = \frac{(I_i)^T I_j}{\|I_i\|_2 \|I_j\|_2}$ 

- Similarity is NOT CA
- Context-aware learning is used
  - Assumption: item models will be more accurate
  - Reasons: during the learning context is modelled separately
- Elementwise product model
  - Context related effects coded in the context feature vector
- o Pairwise model
  - Context related biases removed

### CONTEXT-AWARE SIMILARITIES: LEVEL2

o Incorporating the context features

• Elementwise product model

0

- Similarities reweighted by the context feature
- Assumption: will be sensitive to the quality of the context

• 
$$s_{i,j,c} = 1^T (I_i \circ C_c \circ I_j)$$
  $s_{i,j,c} = \frac{1^T (I_i \circ C_c \circ I_j)}{\|I_i\|_2 \|I_j\|_2}$   
Pairwise model

- Context dependent promotions/demotions for the participating items
- Assumption: minor improvements to the basic similarity

• 
$$s_{i,j,c} = (I_i)^T I_j + (I_i)^T C_c + (I_j)^T C_c$$

## CONTEXT-AWARE SIMILARITIES: LEVEL2 NORMALIZATION

- Normalization of context vector
- o Only for cosine similarity
- Elementwise product model:
  - Makes no difference in the ordering
  - Recommendation in a given context to a given user

#### o Pairwise model

- Might affect results
- Controls the importance of item promotions/demotions

$$s_{i,j,c} = \frac{(I_i)^T I_j}{\|I_i\|_2 \|I_j\|_2} + \frac{(I_i)^T C_c}{\|I_i\|_2} + \frac{(I_j)^T C_c}{\|I_j\|_2}$$
$$s_{i,j,c} = \frac{(I_i)^T I_j}{\|I_i\|_2 \|I_j\|_2} + \frac{(I_i)^T C_c}{\|I_i\|_2 \|C_c\|_2} + \frac{(I_j)^T C_c}{\|I_j\|_2 \|C_c\|_2}$$

## **EXPERIMENTS - SETUP**

• Four implicit dataset

- LastFM 1K music
- TV1, TV2 IPTV
- Grocery online grocery shopping
- Context: seasonality
  - Both with manually and automatically determined time bands
- Evaluation: recommend similar items to the users' previous item
  - Recall@20
  - MAP@20
  - Coverage@20

### **EXPERIMENTS - RESULTS**

Improvement for Grocery



#### Improvement for TV1



200.00%

150.00%

100.00%

50.00%

0.00%

-50.00%

From left to right: L1 elementwise, L1 pairwise, L2 elementwise, L2 pairwise, L2 pairwise (norm) 0

#### Recall Coverage 17.99%<sup>19.7</sup>2% 6.14% 5.27% -1.62% -3.18% -7.92% -18.26% -21.96%

#### Improvement for TV2



#### Improvement for LastFM

183.91%

#### **EXPERIMENTS - CONCLUSION**

- Context awareness generally helps
- Impromevent greatly depends on method and context quality
- All but the elementwise level2 method:
  - Minor improvements
  - Tolerant of context quality
- Elementwise product level2:
  - Possibly huge improvements
  - Or huge decrease in recommendations
  - Depends on the context/problem

## (POSSIBLE) FUTURE WORK

- o Experimentation with different contexts
- o Different similarity between feature vectors
- o Predetermination wether context is useful for
  - User bias
  - Item bias
  - Reweighting
- o Predetermination of context quality
- Different evaluation methods
  - E.g. recommend to session

# **THANKS FOR THE ATTENTION!**

For more of my recommender systems related research visit my website: <u>http://www.hidasi.eu</u>